

# THE CANONICAL MODULE OF A COX RING

MITSUYASU HASHIMOTO AND KAZUHIKO KURANO

Dedicated to Professor Jun-ichi Nishimura on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we shall describe the graded canonical module of a Noetherian multi-section ring of a normal projective variety. In particular, in the case of the Cox ring, we prove that the graded canonical module is a graded free module of rank one with the shift of degree  $K_X$ . We shall give two kinds of proofs. The first one utilizes the equivariant twisted inverse functor developed by the first author. The second proof is down-to-earth, that avoids the twisted inverse functor, but some additional assumptions are required in this proof.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

D. Cox studied the total coordinate ring (Cox ring) of toric varieties in [1]. Cox rings of normal projective varieties have become so important and interesting objects that many mathematicians try to prove (in)finite generation or to study their ring-theoretic properties (generators, relations, syzygies, homological properties, etc.).

In this paper, we shall describe the graded canonical module of a Noetherian multi-section ring of a normal projective variety in Theorem 1.2. Using this result, we shall give a necessary and sufficient condition for the canonical module to be a free module (see Corollary 1.3). Since the Cox ring is a unique factorization domain as in [2], its graded canonical module is a free module. We prove that the graded canonical module of the Cox ring of a normal projective variety  $X$  is a graded free module of rank one with the shift of degree  $K_X$  (see Corollary 1.5). Many mathematicians study syzygies of the Cox ring. One of the purposes of our study is to contribute to their study.

We shall give two kinds of proofs to Theorem 1.2 in this paper. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 using the equivariant twisted inverse functor developed in [6]. The second proof is given in Section 4. The second proof is down-to-earth, that avoids the twisted inverse functor, but some additional assumptions are required in this proof.

From now on, we shall give precise definition, and state our main theorem and corollaries.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -dimensional normal projective variety over a field  $k$ . Let  $D_1, \dots, D_s$  be Weil divisors on  $X$ . We define a ring  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  to be

$$\bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i)) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s} \subset k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_s^{\pm 1}].$$

For a Weil divisor  $F$  on  $X$ , we set

$$M_F = \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i + F)) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s} \subset k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_s^{\pm 1}],$$

that is,  $M_F$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ -module such that

$$[M_F]_{(n_1, \dots, n_s)} = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i + F)) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}.$$

In this paper, for a normal variety  $X$ , we denote by  $\text{Cl}(X)$  the class group of  $X$ , and for a Weil divisor  $F$  on  $X$ , we denote by  $\overline{F}$  the class of  $F$  in  $\text{Cl}(X)$ .

In the case where  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is freely generated by  $\overline{D_1}, \dots, \overline{D_s}$ , the ring  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is called the *Cox ring* of  $X$  and denoted by  $\text{Cox}(X)$ . Recall that  $\text{Cox}(X)$  is uniquely determined by  $X$  up to isomorphisms, that is, independent of the choice of  $D_1, \dots, D_s$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety over a field  $k$  such that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$ . Assume that  $D_1, \dots, D_s$  are Weil divisors on  $X$  which satisfy the following three conditions:*

- (1)  $\overline{D_1}, \dots, \overline{D_s}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(X)$ .
- (2) The lattice  $\mathbb{Z}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}D_s$  contains an ample Cartier divisor.
- (3) The ring  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is Noetherian.

*Then,  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain (see Definition 2.1), and we have an isomorphism*

$$\omega_{R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)} \simeq M_{K_X}$$

*of  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ -modules.*

Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety and  $D_1, \dots, D_s$  be Weil divisors on  $X$ . Then,  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is a Krull domain as in [2]. (In particular, if  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is Noetherian, then it is a Noetherian integrally closed domain.) Therefore, the divisor class group of  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  can be defined. If (2) in Theorem 1.2 is satisfied, then we have an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_s} \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(X) \xrightarrow{p} \text{Cl}(R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)) \longrightarrow 0$$

defined by  $p(\overline{F}) = \overline{M_F}$  for each Weil divisor  $F$ , where  $\overline{M_F}$  stands for the isomorphism class containing  $M_F$ . We shall construct this map  $p$  explicitly in Remark 4.4.

Theorem 1.2 says that

$$p(\overline{K_X}) = \overline{\omega_{R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)}}$$

if (1), (2), and (3) in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. In particular, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.3.** *Suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied.*

*Then,  $\omega_{R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)}$  is a free  $R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)$ -module if and only if*

$$\overline{K_X} \in \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_1} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_s}$$

*in  $\text{Cl}(X)$ .*

We shall give some examples (Example 5.1, Example 5.2) in Section 5.

**Remark 1.4.** Suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Put  $d = \dim X$ . Let  $F_1, \dots, F_r$  be linearly independent elements in  $\mathbb{Z}D_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}D_s$ . Put

$$L_1 = \mathbb{Z}D_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}D_s \supset L_2 = \mathbb{Z}F_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}F_r.$$

We think that  $R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)$  is graded by  $L_1$ . We have

$$R(X;F_1,\dots,F_r) = R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)|_{L_2}.$$

By Theorem 1.2,

$$(1.2) \quad \omega_{R(X;F_1,\dots,F_r)} = \omega_{R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)}|_{L_2}$$

if the lattice  $L_2$  contains an ample Cartier divisor.

If we take the graded dual on the both sides of (1.2), we know

$$(1.3) \quad H_{\mathfrak{m}_2}^{d+r}(R(X;F_1,\dots,F_r)) = H_{\mathfrak{m}_1}^{d+s}(R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s))|_{L_2},$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_1$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{m}_2$ ) is the unique maximal homogeneous ideal of  $R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)$  (resp.  $R(X;F_1,\dots,F_r)$ ). Here, remark that the dimension of  $R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)$  (resp.  $R(X;F_1,\dots,F_r)$ ) is equal to  $d+s$  (resp.  $d+r$ ). We shall obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{m}_1}^{d+s}(R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)) &= H_{S_+}^{d+1}(R(X;D_1,\dots,D_s)) \\ H_{\mathfrak{m}_2}^{d+r}(R(X;F_1,\dots,F_r)) &= H_{S_+}^{d+1}(R(X;F_1,\dots,F_r)) \end{aligned}$$

in Remark 4.5. The equality (1.3) also follows from the above equalities.

In Example 5.3, we shall give an example that the equality (1.2) is not satisfied if we remove the assumption that  $L_2$  contains an ample Cartier divisor.

Suppose that  $X$  is a normal projective variety with a finitely generated free divisor class group. We may think that the Cox ring is graded by  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . By the exact sequence (1.1),  $\text{Cox}(X)$  is a unique factorization domain as in [2]. Therefore the canonical module  $\omega_{\text{Cox}(X)}$  is a  $\text{Cl}(X)$ -graded free  $\text{Cox}(X)$ -module of rank one if  $\text{Cox}(X)$  is a Noetherian ring. By Theorem 1.2, we can determine the degree of the homogeneous generator of  $\omega_{\text{Cox}(X)}$  as follows.

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety over a field. Assume that  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is a finitely generated free abelian group and the Cox ring of  $X$  is Noetherian.*

*Then, the canonical module of the Cox ring is a rank one free  $\text{Cl}(X)$ -graded module whose generator is of degree  $-\overline{K_X} \in \text{Cl}(X)$ .*

Suppose that  $D_1, \dots, D_s$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. Assume (1), (2), and (3) in Theorem 1.2. Let  $H_1$  be the set of all the closed subvarieties of  $X$  of codimension one. Set

$$D_i = \sum_{V \in H_1} \frac{p_{i,V}}{q_{i,V}} V,$$

where  $p_{i,V}$ 's and  $q_{i,V}$ 's are integers such that  $(p_{i,V}, q_{i,V}) = 1$  and  $q_{i,V} > 0$  for each  $V$ . (If  $p_{i,V} = 0$ , then  $q_{i,V} = 1$ .) Then, as in Theorem (2.8) in [11], we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.6.** *With the notation as above,*

$$\omega_{R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)} \simeq \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i + K_X + \sum_{V \in H_1} \frac{q_V - 1}{q_V} V)),$$

where  $q_V$  is the least common multiple of  $q_{1,V}, \dots, q_{s,V}$  for each  $V \in H_1$ .

## 2. THE CANONICAL MODULE OF A LOCAL $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -GRADED $k$ -DOMAIN

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $k$  be a field.  $R$  is called a *local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $R = \bigoplus_{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{\underline{a}}$  is a Noetherian  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded domain.
- $R_{\underline{0}} = k$ .
- Suppose that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the ideal of  $R$  generated by all the homogeneous elements of  $R$  of degree different from  $\underline{0}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m} \neq R$ .

Assume that  $R$  is a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain. We remark that the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  as above is the unique maximal homogeneous ideal of  $R$ . Further,  $R$  is of finite type over  $k$ . Therefore, the height of  $\mathfrak{m}$  coincides with the dimension of  $R$ .

For a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module  $M$  over a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain  $R$ ,  ${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_k(M, k)$  denotes the graded dual of  $M$ , that is,  ${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_k(M, k)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R$ -module such that  ${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_k(M, k)_{\underline{a}} = \mathrm{Hom}_k(M_{-\underline{a}}, k)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain with the maximal homogeneous ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then,

$${}^*\mathrm{Hom}_k(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(R), k)$$

is called the *canonical module* of  $R$ , and denoted by  $\omega_R$ . Here,  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(R)$  is the  $(\dim R)$ -th local cohomology group and it has a natural structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R$ -module.

We emphasize that  $\omega_R$  has a structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R$ -module. We refer the reader to [5] and [9] for the general theory of  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded rings.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety over a field  $k$  such that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$ . Assume that  $D_1, \dots, D_s$  are Weil divisors on  $X$  which satisfy the assumptions (1) and (3) in Theorem 1.2.*

*Then,  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain.*

*Proof.* We denote the ring  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  simply by  $R$ .

In order to prove that  $R$  is a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain, we need to show that the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  is not equal to  $R$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the ideal of  $R$  generated by all the homogeneous elements of  $R$  of degree different from  $\underline{0}$ . Assume the contrary. Then, there exists  $(n_1, \dots, n_s) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$  such that

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i)) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_i n_i D_i)) \neq 0.$$

Then, there exists an effective Weil divisor  $F_1$  that is linearly equivalent to  $\sum_i n_i D_i$ . Since  $\overline{D_1}, \dots, \overline{D_s}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$  in the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(X)$  by our assumption,  $F_1 \neq 0$ . In the same way, there exists a non-zero effective Weil divisor  $F_2$  that is linearly equivalent to  $-\sum_i n_i D_i$ . Then, the non-zero effective Weil divisor  $F_1 + F_2$  is linearly equivalent to 0. This is a contradiction. **q.e.d.**

**Remark 2.4.** Suppose that the assumptions (2) and (3) in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Set  $R = R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ .

Suppose that  $D = a_1 D_1 + \dots + a_s D_s$  is an ample Cartier divisor, where  $a_1, \dots, a_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Set

$$S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD)) t^n \subset k(X)[t].$$

We have a ring homomorphism  $S \rightarrow R$  defined by  $ft^n \mapsto f(t_1^{a_1} \dots t_s^{a_s})^n$  for  $f \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(nD))$ . We think  $S$  as a subring of  $R$  by this ring homomorphism. Here,  $X = \text{Proj}(S)$ . Set  $X' = X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)$  and  $D'_i = D_i|_{X'}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .

Replacing  $D$  by  $nD$  for a sufficiently large  $n$  (if necessary), we may assume that there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$  which satisfy the following two conditions:

- (1)  $X' = \bigcup_{j=1}^\ell D_+(f_j t)$ .
- (2)  $D'_i$  is a principal divisor on  $D_+(f_j t)$  for any  $i$  and  $j$ .

Let  $(f_j t_1^{a_1} \dots t_s^{a_s} \mid j)R$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by  $\{f_j t_1^{a_1} \dots t_s^{a_s} \mid j = 1, \dots, \ell\}$ . Then, we can show that

(2.1) the height of the ideal  $(f_j t_1^{a_1} \dots t_s^{a_s} \mid j)R$  is bigger than or equal to two

as follows. Let  $H_1$  be the set of closed subvarieties of  $X$  of codimension one. For  $V \in H_1$ , we set

$$(2.2) \quad P_V = \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i - V)) t_1^{n_1} \dots t_s^{n_s} \subset R,$$

that is,  $P_V = M_{-V}$ . Then, as in p. 632 in [2],

$$\{P_V \mid V \in H_1\}$$

coincides with the set of all the height one homogeneous prime ideals of  $R$ . Here, recall that  $P_V \cap S$  is equal to the defining ideal of  $V$  in the ring  $S$ . Since  $V_+((f_j t \mid j)S)$  coincides with  $\text{Sing}(X)$ , the ideal  $(f_j t_1^{a_1} \dots t_s^{a_s} \mid j)R$  is not contained in any height one homogeneous prime ideal of  $R$ . Here, recall that  $\text{Sing}(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$  of codimension bigger than or equal to 2.

Thus, we know that the height of the ideal  $(f_j t_1^{a_1} \dots t_s^{a_s} \mid j)R$  is bigger than or equal to two.

### 3. A PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM USING THE TWISTED INVERSE FUNCTOR

We shall prove Theorem 1.2 in this section using the twisted inverse functor. We shall prove

$$\omega_{R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)} \simeq M_{K_X}$$

without assuming (1) in Theorem 1.2. If we remove the assumption (1) in Theorem 1.2, the ring  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  may not be a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain. In this case, we can not define  $\omega_{R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)}$  using local cohomologies as in Definition 2.2. In this section, we shall give an alternative definition of  $\omega_{R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)}$  using the twisted inverse functor as in Definition 3.1. Of course, the both definitions coincide in the case where  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain as in Remark 3.4.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $G$  a finite-type group scheme over  $k$ , and  $f : X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k$  a  $G$ -scheme which is separated of finite type. Then the dualizing complex  $\mathbb{I}_X$  of  $X$  is defined to be  $f^! \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} k}$ , where  $f^!$  denotes the (equivariant) twisted inverse functor  $f^! : D_{\operatorname{Lqc}}(G, \operatorname{Spec} k) \rightarrow D_{\operatorname{Lqc}}(G, X)$ , see [6, (20.5)] and [6, Chapter 29]. Assume that  $X$  is non-empty and connected. Then we define  $s := \inf\{i \mid H^i(\mathbb{I}_X) \neq 0\}$ , and  $\omega_X := H^s(\mathbb{I}_X)$ . We call  $\omega_X$  the ( $G$ -equivariant) canonical sheaf of  $X$ . If, moreover,  $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine, then  $\Gamma(X, \omega_X)$  is denoted by  $\omega_A$ , and is called the ( $G$ -equivariant) canonical module of  $A$ .

**Remark 3.2.** Note that  $\mathbb{I}_X \in D_{\operatorname{Coh}}^b(G, X)$ , and  $\omega_X \in \operatorname{Coh}(G, X)$ . Note also that if we forget the  $G$ -action, then  $\mathbb{I}_X$  as an object of  $D^b(X)$  is the dualizing complex of  $X$ , and  $\omega_X$  is the canonical sheaf of  $X$  in the usual sense. If  $X$  is Cohen–Macaulay, then  $\omega_X[-s] \cong \mathbb{I}_X$  in  $D(G, X)$ , where  $s = \inf\{i \mid H^i(\mathbb{I}_X) \neq 0\}$  (we use this fact freely later, for non-singular varieties). If  $X$  is equidimensional and  $U$  is a  $G$ -stable open subset, then  $\omega_X|_U \cong \omega_U$ . In general, for a quasi-compact separated  $G$ -morphism  $g : U \rightarrow X$ , the canonical map  $u : \omega_X \rightarrow g_* g^* \omega_X$  is  $(G, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -linear. If  $X$  is normal,  $g$  is the inclusion from a  $G$ -stable open subset  $U$ , and the codimension of  $X \setminus U$  in  $X$  is at least two, then  $u$  is an isomorphism of coherent  $(G, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -modules. So we have  $\omega_X \cong g_* \omega_U$  in this case.

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $k$  be a field,  $H$  a finite-type  $k$ -group scheme, and  $G := \mathbb{G}_m \times H$ . A  $G$ -algebra  $R$  is nothing but a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $k$ -algebra  $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i$  which is also an  $H$ -algebra such that each  $R_i$  is an  $H$ -submodule of  $R$ , where the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading is given by the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action. Let  $R$  be a positively graded  $G$ -algebra. That is,  $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i$  is a  $G$ -algebra such that  $R_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  and  $R_0 = k$ . Assume that  $R$  is a finitely generated domain.

Let  $d = \dim R$  and  $\Theta = \operatorname{Spec} R$ . Let  $\theta$  be the unique  $G$ -stable closed point of  $\Theta$ , corresponding to the unique graded maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . Then  $\omega_\Theta = H^{-d}(\mathbb{I}_\Theta)$ . By the equivariant local duality [8, (4.18)], for any  $\mathbb{F} \in D_{\operatorname{Coh}}(G, \Theta)$ ,

$$\underline{H}_\theta^i(\mathbb{F}) \cong \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_\Theta}(\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathcal{O}_\Theta}^{-i}(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{I}_\Theta), \mathcal{E}),$$

where  $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_\Theta}$  denotes the sheaf-Hom in the category of  $(G, \mathcal{O}_\Theta)$ -modules [6, (2.24), Chapter 29], and  $\underline{\operatorname{Ext}}_{\mathcal{O}_\Theta}^{-i}$  its right derived functor.  $\underline{H}_\theta^i$  denotes the equivariant local cohomology [7, (7.7)], and  $\mathcal{E} = \underline{H}_\theta^0(\mathbb{I}_\Theta)$  is the  $G$ -sheaf of Matlis of the  $G$ -local  $G$ -scheme  $(\Theta, \theta)$  [8]. Letting  $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}_\Theta$  and  $i = d$ ,

$$\underline{H}_\theta^d(\mathcal{O}_\Theta) \cong \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}_\Theta}(\omega_\Theta, \mathcal{E}).$$

In other words,

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega_R, E),$$

where  $E = H^0(\Theta, \mathcal{E})$ . By [8, Lemma 5.4] and [8, Remark 5.6], it is easy to see that  $(?)^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(?, E)$  is equivalent to  ${}^*\text{Hom}_k(?, k)$  as a functor from the full subcategory of the category of  $(G, R)$ -modules consisting of modules whose degree  $i$  component is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading is given by the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -action) to itself, and  $(?)^\vee(??)^\vee$  is equivalent to the identity functor. Thus  $(H_m^d(R))^\vee \cong \omega_R$ .

Let  $H = \mathbb{G}_m^s$ . Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  be an affine  $H$ -morphism between  $H$ -schemes. Assume that the action of  $H$  on  $Y$  is trivial. Then  $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is a quasi-coherent  $(H, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -algebra in the sense that  $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is both a quasi-coherent  $(H, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -module and an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra, and the unit map  $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  and the product  $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  are  $(H, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -linear. This is equivalent to say that  $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra. Conversely, for a given trivial  $H$ -scheme  $Y$  and a quasi-coherent  $(H, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -algebra (that is, a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra)  $\mathcal{A}$ , letting  $X := \text{Spec}_Y \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  the canonical map,  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is an affine  $H$ -morphism such that  $\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{A}$ . In this case, a quasi-coherent  $(H, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  yields a graded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\varphi_*\mathcal{M}$ , and conversely, a graded  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $\mathcal{N}$  determines a quasi-coherent  $(H, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\varphi_*\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$  uniquely, up to isomorphisms.

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $H = \mathbb{G}_m^s$ , and  $G = \mathbb{G}_m \times H = \mathbb{G}_m^{s+1}$ .

Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -algebra. Then  $R$  is an  $H$ -algebra. Assume moreover that  $R$  is a local  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $k$ -domain, see Definition 2.1.

Then the convex polyhedral cone in  $\mathbb{R}^s$  generated by  $\{\underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^s \mid R_{\underline{a}} \neq 0\}$  does not contain a line. So there is a linear function  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i$  is a positively graded  $G$ -algebra, that is,  $R_0 = k$  and  $R_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  and each  $R_i$  is an  $H$ -submodule of  $R$ , where  $R_i = \bigoplus_{\varphi(\underline{a})=i} R_{\underline{a}}$ . Note that  $R$  is assumed to be a finitely generated domain.

As in Remark 3.3,  $(H_m^d(R))^\vee \cong \omega_R$  as  $(G, R)$ -modules. In particular, they are isomorphic as  $(H, R)$ -modules or  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R$ -modules.

This shows that our new definition of  $\omega_R$  as a graded  $R$ -module is consistent with Definition 2.2.

We assume (2) and (3) in Theorem 1.2. Set  $R = R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ . Take an ample Cartier divisor  $D$ , a subring  $S$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D))$  as in Remark 2.4.

We set

$$Y = \text{Spec}_{X'} \left( \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i n_i D'_i \right) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s} \right),$$

where  $\mathbb{N}_0$  denotes the set of all non-negative integers. Let  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X'$  be the structure morphism. Consider the open subscheme

$$(3.1) \quad Z = \text{Spec}_{X'} \left( \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i n_i D'_i \right) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s} \right)$$

of  $Y$ . Let  $i : Z \rightarrow Y$  be the open immersion.

For each affine open subset  $U$  of  $X'$ , we have a ring homomorphism

$$R \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\sum_i n_i D'_i)) t_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}$$

induced by the restriction to the open set  $U$  of  $X'$ . Therefore, we have a natural morphism  $j : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ .

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \\ \downarrow j & & \downarrow \pi \\ \text{Spec}(R) \setminus V((f_j t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s} | j)R) & \subset & \text{Spec}(R) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} X' \\ \\ \end{array}$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *The morphism  $j : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  coincides with the open immersion  $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus V((f_j t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s} | j)R) \subset \text{Spec}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* There exist  $\alpha_{ij}$ 's in  $k(X)^\times$  such that

$$R[(f_j t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s})^{-1}] = \Gamma(D_+(f_j t), \mathcal{O}_X)[(\alpha_{1j} t_1)^{\pm 1}, \dots, (\alpha_{sj} t_s)^{\pm 1}]$$

for each  $j$ . The ring corresponding to the affine open set  $(\pi i)^{-1}(D_+(f_j t))$  just coincides with the above ring. Thus we obtain

$$Z = \text{Spec}(R) \setminus V((f_j t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s} | j)R).$$

**q.e.d.**

The group  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^s$  acts on all the schemes in diagram (3.2). The action on  $X'$  is trivial. All the morphisms in diagram (3.2) are compatible with the group action.

As in Definition 3.1, we can define the canonical sheaves with group action for the schemes in diagram (3.2). That is, the canonical sheaves for all the schemes in diagram (3.2) are  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded.

Then, we have  $\omega_R|_Z = \omega_Z$  by the compatibility with open immersions (see Remark 3.2). Since the height of  $(f_j t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s} | j)R$  is bigger than one as in Remark 2.4, we have an isomorphism

$$H^0(Z, \omega_Z) = \omega_R$$

which is compatible with the group action (see Remark 3.2).

On the other hand,  $\pi : Y \rightarrow X'$  is a smooth morphism of relative dimension  $s$  that is compatible with the group action. Then, the sheaf of differentials  $\Omega_{Y/X'}$  naturally has a group action, that is, it has a structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded sheaf. We have

$$\bigwedge^s \Omega_{Y/X'} \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\sum_i D'_i)(-1, \dots, -1),$$

where  $(-1, \dots, -1)$  denotes the shift of degree.



Then, by Theorem 28.11 in [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_Y &= \bigwedge^s \Omega_{Y/X'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \pi^* \omega_{X'} \\
&\simeq \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i \right) (-1, \dots, -1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} (K_{X'}) \\
&= \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) (-1, \dots, -1).
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\omega_Z = i^* \omega_Y = (\pi i)^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) (-1, \dots, -1).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_R &= H^0(Z, \omega_Z) \\
&= H^0(X', (\pi i)_* \omega_Z) \\
&= H^0(X', (\pi i)_* (\pi i)^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) (-1, \dots, -1)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the equivariant projection formula (Lemma 26.4 in [6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\pi i)_* (\pi i)^* \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) (-1, \dots, -1) \\
&\simeq \left( \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} (\pi i)_* \mathcal{O}_Z \right) (-1, \dots, -1) \\
&= \left( \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X'}} \left[ \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i n_i D'_i \right) \right] \right) (-1, \dots, -1) \\
&= \left( \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i (n_i + 1) D'_i + K_{X'} \right) \right) (-1, \dots, -1) \\
&= \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i n_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_R &= \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_i n_i D'_i + K_{X'} \right)) \\
&= \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X \left( \sum_i n_i D_i + K_X \right)).
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

#### 4. ANOTHER PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we give another proof to Theorem 1.2 without using the twisted inverse functor. In this section, we have to assume that the scheme  $X'$  in Remark 2.4 is smooth over  $k$ . Note that it is automatically satisfied if the base field  $k$  is perfect.

The idea of this proof is based on Lemma 13 in [10]. In the proof in this section, we have to assume that Theorem 1.2 is true if  $s = 1$ .

**Remark 4.1.** In the case where  $s = 1$ , Theorem 1.2 is proved using Serre duality. Assume that  $s = 1$  and  $a_1 D_1$  is a very ample Cartier divisor for some  $a_1 > 0$ . Put  $R = R(X; D_1)$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n_1 D_1 + K_X)) &= \bigoplus_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X(-n_1 D_1), \omega_X) \\ &= \bigoplus_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_k(H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-n_1 D_1)), k) = \bigoplus_{n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_k(H_{R_+}^{d+1}(R)_{-n_1}, k) \\ &= {}^* \mathrm{Hom}_k(H_{R_+}^{d+1}(R), k) = \omega_R. \end{aligned}$$

First, we shall prove a basic fact on class groups as follows. The authors guess that it is well-known, however we do not know an adequate reference. Therefore, we shall give a proof here.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $U$  be a normal scheme. Let  $F_1, \dots, F_t$  be Cartier divisors on  $U$ . Put*

$$W = \mathrm{Spec}_U \left( \bigoplus_{m_1, \dots, m_t \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_U \left( \sum_{j=1}^t m_j F_j \right) \right).$$

*Let  $\pi : W \rightarrow U$  be the structure morphism.*

*Then, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\overline{F_1} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{F_t} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(U) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathrm{Cl}(W) \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact.*

*Proof.* Recall that, since  $\pi : W \rightarrow U$  is a flat morphism, the pull-back map

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{Cl}(U) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(W)$$

is defined as in [3].

Put

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \mathrm{Spec}_U \left( \bigoplus_{m_1, \dots, m_t \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_U \left( \sum_{j=1}^t m_j F_j \right) \right) = \mathrm{Spec}_U \left( \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_U} (\mathcal{O}_U(F_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_U(F_t)) \right) \\ E_j &= \mathrm{Spec}_U \left( \bigoplus_{m_j \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_U(m_j F_j) \right) = \mathrm{Spec}_U \left( \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_U} (\mathcal{O}_U(F_j)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, t$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} p &: E \longrightarrow U \\ p_j &: E_j \longrightarrow U \\ q_j &: E \longrightarrow E_j \end{aligned}$$

be the natural morphisms. Remark that  $p = p_j q_j$  for any  $j$ . By Theorem 3.3 (a) in [3], the flat pull-back map

$$p^* : \text{Cl}(U) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(E)$$

is an isomorphism. Let

$$s_j : U \longrightarrow E_j$$

be the 0-section of the vector bundle  $p_j : E_j \rightarrow U$ . Then,

$$W = E \setminus \bigcup_j q_j^{-1}(s_j(U)).$$

By Proposition 1.8 in [3], we have the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \sum_j \overline{\mathbb{Z}q_j^{-1}(s_j(U))} & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}(E) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}(W) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow p^* & & \\ & & & & \text{Cl}(U) & & \end{array}$$

Then  $s_j(U)$  is linearly equivalent to  $p_j^*(-F_j)$  by Example 3.3.2 in [3]. Therefore,  $q_j^{-1}(s_j(U))$  is linearly equivalent to  $-p^*(F_j)$ . Thus, we have the desired exact sequence. **q.e.d.**

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose that (2) in Theorem 1.2 is satisfied.*

*Then, we have the following:*

(1) *The set*

$$\{M_F \mid F \text{ is a Weil divisor on } X\}$$

*just coincides with the set of divisorial fractional ideals which are  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ -submodules of  $k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_s^{\pm 1}]$ .*

(2) *For Weil divisors  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ ,  $F_1$  is linearly equivalent to  $F_2$  if and only if  $M_{F_1}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{F_2}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module.*

(3) *Further, assume that  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$  is Noetherian. Any non-zero finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded reflexive  $R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ -module of rank one is isomorphic to  $M_G$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module for some Weil divisor  $G$  on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $R = R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ .

First, we prove (1). Let  $F$  be a Weil divisor on  $X$ . We shall prove that  $M_F$  is a divisorial fractional ideal.

Since there exists an ample Cartier divisor in  $\mathbb{Z}D_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}D_s$ , we can find  $at_1^{m_1} \dots t_s^{m_s}$  such that  $\text{div}_X(a) + \sum_i m_i D_i - F$  is an effective divisor, where  $\text{div}_X(a)$  is the principal Weil divisor corresponding to  $a \in k(X)^\times$ . By definition, it is easy to check that, for  $a \in k(X)^\times$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad (at_1^{m_1} \dots t_s^{m_s})M_F = M_{F - \text{div}_X(a) - \sum_i m_i D_i}.$$

We have only to show that  $M_{F - \text{div}_X(a) - \sum_i m_i D_i}$  is a divisorial fractional ideal.

We define  $H_1$  and  $P_V$  as in Remark 2.4. Set

$$F - \operatorname{div}_X(a) - \sum_i m_i D_i = - \sum_{j=1}^u \ell_j V_j,$$

where  $V_1, \dots, V_u$  are distinct elements in  $H_1$ . By the argument as above, all of  $\ell_j$ 's are positive integers.

For  $V \in H_1$ , we define  $R_V$  as in p. 629 in [2]. (Here, we use the symbol " $V$ " instead of " $F$ " in [2].) In this case, we obtain

$$R_{P_V} = (R_V)_{\alpha_V R_V}$$

as in p. 632 in [2]. Therefore, for any  $\ell > 0$ , we have

$$P_V^{(\ell)} = P_V^\ell R_{P_V} \cap R = \alpha_V^\ell (R_V)_{\alpha_V R_V} \cap R = M_{-\ell V}.$$

Then,

$$M_{-\sum_j \ell_j V_j} = \bigcap_j M_{-\ell_j V_j} = \bigcap_j P_{V_j}^{(\ell_j)}.$$

Since  $P_{V_j}$ 's are homogeneous prime ideals of  $R$  of height one,  $M_{-\sum_j \ell_j V_j}$  is a divisorial fractional ideal.

Conversely, let  $N$  be a divisorial fractional ideal that is a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded  $R$ -submodule of  $k(X)[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_s^{\pm 1}]$ . Using (4.1), it is sufficient to prove that  $N$  coincides with  $M_F$  for some Weil divisor  $F$  in the case where  $N \subset R$ . Then,  $N$  coincides with an intersection of symbolic powers of homogeneous prime ideals of height one. Therefore, there exist  $V_1, \dots, V_u \in H_1$  and positive integers  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_u$  such that

$$N = \bigcap_j P_{V_j}^{(\ell_j)} = \bigcap_j M_{-\ell_j V_j} = M_{-\sum_j \ell_j V_j}.$$

(2) and (3) are easily verified, so we omit the proofs.

**q.e.d.**

**Remark 4.4.** Assume (2) in Theorem 1.2. Put  $R = R(X; D_1, \dots, D_s)$ . The map  $p$  in (1.1) is constructed as follows.

Let  $\operatorname{HDiv}(R)$  be the free abelian group generated by all the homogeneous prime divisors of  $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ , i.e.,

$$\operatorname{HDiv}(R) = \bigoplus_{V \in H_1(X)} \mathbb{Z}[\operatorname{Spec}(R/P_V)],$$

where  $[\operatorname{Spec}(R/P_V)]$  denotes the generator corresponding to the closed subscheme  $\operatorname{Spec}(R/P_V)$ . Here, recall that

$$\{P_V \mid V \in H_1(X)\}$$

coincides with the set of all the homogeneous prime ideals of  $R$  of height one as in p. 632 in [2].

We define

$$\xi' : \operatorname{Div}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{HDiv}(R)$$

by

$$\xi' \left( \sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V V \right) = \sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V [\text{Spec}(R/P_V)].$$

(This map  $\xi'$  is equal to  $\xi$  in p631 in [2] if we identify  $[\text{Spec}(R/P_V)]$  with  $P_V$ .) As in [2],  $\xi'$  induces the map

$$\varphi' : \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow A^1(\text{Spec}(R))$$

satisfying

$$\varphi' \left( \overline{\sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V V} \right) = \overline{\sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V [\text{Spec}(R/P_V)]},$$

where  $A^1(\text{Spec}(R))$  denotes the Chow group of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  of codimension one. Then, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{D_s} \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi'} A^1(\text{Spec}(R)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\text{Cl}(R)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of divisorial fractional ideals of  $R$ . Then we have the isomorphism

$$i : A^1(\text{Spec}(R)) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(R)$$

defined by

$$i \left( \overline{\sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V [\text{Spec}(R/P_V)]} \right) = - \sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V \overline{P_V} = \overline{M_{\sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V V}},$$

where  $\overline{P_V}$  (resp.  $\overline{M_{\sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V V}}$ ) denotes the isomorphism class containing  $P_V$  (resp.  $M_{\sum_{V \in H_1(X)} n_V V}$ ).

We set  $p = i\varphi'$ . Then,

$$p : \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R)$$

is the map which satisfy  $p(\overline{F}) = \overline{M_F}$  for each Weil divisor  $F$  on  $X$ , and we have the exact sequence (1.1).

We now start to prove Theorem 1.2 in the case where  $s \geq 2$ . Suppose that all the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. We assume that  $X'$  in Remark 2.4 is smooth over  $k$ .

Since  $\omega_R$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded reflexive  $R$ -module of rank one, there exists a Weil divisor  $G$  such that  $\omega_R$  is isomorphic to  $M_G$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}^s$ -graded module as in Lemma 4.3.

We want to show that  $G$  is linearly equivalent to  $K_X$ . Assume the contrary, that is,  $\overline{G - K_X} \neq 0$  in  $\text{Cl}(X)$ .

Then, we can choose  $F_1, \dots, F_s \in \mathbb{Z}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}D_s$  satisfying the following three conditions:

- (1)  $\mathbb{Z}F_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}F_s = \mathbb{Z}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}D_s$ .
- (2) If  $b_1F_1 + \cdots + b_sF_s$  is linearly equivalent to a non-zero effective divisor, then  $b_s > 0$ .

$$(3) \overline{G - K_X} \notin \mathbb{Z}\overline{F_1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{F_{s-1}}.$$

Here, recall that  $s$  is bigger than 1, and  $F_1, \dots, F_s$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by the assumption (1) in Theorem 1.2.

We define a map

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}D_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}D_s \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

by

$$\varphi(b_1F_1 + \cdots + b_sF_s) = b_s.$$

The kernel of  $\varphi$  is equal to  $\mathbb{Z}F_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}F_{s-1}$ .

We think that  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring by

$$\deg(at_1^{n_1} \cdots t_s^{n_s}) = \varphi(n_1D_1 + \cdots + n_sD_s).$$

Set  $T = \text{Proj}(R)$ . Take an ample Cartier divisor  $D = \sum_i a_i D_i$ , a subring  $S$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_\ell$  as in Remark 2.4. Set  $Q = T \setminus V_+((f_j t_1^{a_1} \cdots t_s^{a_s} \mid j)R)$ . In this section, for an  $\ell$ -dimensional normal algebraic variety  $W$  over  $k$  which is smooth over  $k$  in codimension one, we define

$$\omega_W = \left( \bigwedge^\ell \Omega_{W/k} \right)^{**}.$$

However, for  $R$ , we define  $\omega_R$  as in Definition 2.2.

Then, it is easy to see that  $Q$  coincides with

$$\text{Spec}_{X'} \left( \bigoplus_{m_1, \dots, m_{s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X'} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} m_j F'_j \right) \right),$$

where  $F'_j = F_j|_{X'}$ . Since  $X \setminus X' = \text{Sing}(X)$ , the natural restriction

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Cl}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(X')$$

is an isomorphism. Let  $\pi : Q \rightarrow X'$  be the structure morphism.

Then, by Lemma 4.2, we have the following exact sequence:

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\overline{F'_1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{F'_{s-1}} \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(X') \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Cl}(Q) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since  $\dim Q = d + s - 1$ , we have

$$\omega_T|_Q = \omega_Q = \bigwedge^{d+s-1} \Omega_{Q/k}.$$

Since  $X'$  is smooth over  $k$  and  $\pi : Q \rightarrow X'$  is a smooth morphism, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^* \Omega_{X'/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Q/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Q/X'} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_Q &= \bigwedge^{d+s-1} \Omega_{Q/k} \\
&= \bigwedge^d \pi^* \Omega_{X'/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Q} \bigwedge^{s-1} \Omega_{Q/X'} \\
&= \pi^* \bigwedge^d \Omega_{X'/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Q} \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(F'_1 + \cdots + F'_{s-1}) \\
&= \pi^* \omega_{X'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Q} \mathcal{O}_Q \\
(4.4) \quad &= \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(K_{X'})
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(F'_1 + \cdots + F'_{s-1}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_Q$  by the exact sequence (4.3).

On the other hand, we have

$$\omega_T = \left( \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i + G)) \right)^\sim,$$

where the right hand side is the coherent  $\mathcal{O}_T$ -module associated with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded module

$$\bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i + G)),$$

that is the canonical module of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring  $R$ . (Remark that we used the fact that Theorem 1.2 is true if  $s = 1$ .) Therefore, we obtain

$$\omega_Q = \omega_T|_Q = \left( \bigoplus_{m_1, \dots, m_{s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} m_j F'_j + G') \right)^\sim,$$

where  $G' = G|_{X'}$ , and the right hand side is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Q$ -module associated with

$$\bigoplus_{m_1, \dots, m_{s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} m_j F'_j + G'),$$

that is a sheaf of modules over the sheaf of algebras

$$\bigoplus_{m_1, \dots, m_{s-1} \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{X'}(\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} m_j F'_j)$$

on  $X'$ . Therefore, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \omega_Q = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(G').$$

Here, for Weil divisors  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  on  $X'$ , we know

$$\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(E_1) \simeq \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{X'}(E_2) \iff \overline{E_1} \equiv \overline{E_2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}\overline{F'_1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{F'_{s-1}}}$$

by the exact sequence (4.3).

Thus, by (4.4) and (4.5), we obtain

$$\overline{G' - K_{X'}} \in \mathbb{Z}\overline{F'_1} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\overline{F'_{s-1}}.$$

Since the restriction (4.2) is an isomorphism, we know that

$$\overline{G - K_X} \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}F_1} + \cdots + \overline{\mathbb{Z}F_{s-1}}$$

in  $\text{Cl}(X)$ . It is a contradiction.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Remark 4.5.** Suppose that all the assumptions in Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. We choose  $D, S$  as in Remark 2.4. Put  $d = \dim X$ . Then,  $\dim R = d + s$  as in [2]. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the unique maximal homogeneous ideal of  $R$ . Let  $S_+$  be the maximal ideal of  $S$  generated by all the homogeneous elements of positive degree. Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d+s}(R) &= {}^*\text{Hom}_k(\omega_R, k) \\ &= \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \text{Hom}_k(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_i n_i D_i + K_X)), k) \\ &= \bigoplus_{(n_1, \dots, n_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s} H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\sum_i n_i D_i)) \\ &= H_{S_+}^{d+1}(R) \end{aligned}$$

by Serre duality.

Remark that there are many examples such that

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d+s-1}(R) \neq H_{S_+}^d(R).$$

## 5. SOME EXAMPLES

In this section, we give some examples.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $B = k[x, y, z]$  be a weighted polynomial ring over a field  $k$  with  $\deg(x) = a$ ,  $\deg(y) = b$  and  $\deg(z) = c$ , where  $a, b, c$  are pairwise coprime positive integers.

Let  $P$  be the kernel of the  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $k[x, y, z] \longrightarrow k[t]$  defined by  $x \mapsto t^a, y \mapsto t^b, z \mapsto t^c$ .

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Proj}(B)$  be the blow-up at  $V_+(P)$ . Let  $A$  be an integral Weil divisor on  $X$  satisfying  $\mathcal{O}_X(A) = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(B)}(1)$ . Put  $E = \pi^{-1}(V_+(P))$ . In this case,  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is freely generated by  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{E}$ . We have

$$K_X = E - (a + b + c)A.$$

Then,  $R(X; -E, A)$  coincides with the extended symbolic Rees ring

$$R = k[x, y, z, t^{-1}, Pt, P^{(2)}t^2, P^{(3)}t^3, \dots] \subset k[x, y, z, t, t^{-1}].$$

$R$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -graded ring with  $\deg(x) = (0, a)$ ,  $\deg(y) = (0, b)$ ,  $\deg(z) = (0, c)$ ,  $\deg(t) = (1, 0)$ . We know

$$\omega_R = R(-1, -(a + b + c)).$$

For positive integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we define

$$R^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \bigoplus_{m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} R_{(\alpha m_1, \beta m_2)}.$$



Here, remark that  $R^{(\alpha,\beta)} = R(X; -\alpha E, \beta A)$ . Therefore,  $\omega_{R^{(\alpha,\beta)}}$  is an  $R^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ -free module if and only if  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta | (a + b + c)$ .

**Example 5.2.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $t_1, \dots, t_r$  be rational distinct closed points in  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$ . Let  $I_i$  be the defining ideal of  $t_i$  in the homogeneous coordinate ring  $B = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . Recall that  $I_i$  is generated by linearly independent  $n$  linear forms. Therefore, for any  $\ell > 0$ ,

$$I_i^\ell = (I_i^\ell)^{\text{sat}} = I_i^{(\ell)},$$

where  $(I_i^\ell)^{\text{sat}}$  is the *saturation* of the ideal  $I_i^\ell$ , and  $I_i^{(\ell)}$  is the  $\ell$ -th symbolic power of  $I_i$ .

Let  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  be positive integers. Put

$$I = I_1^{m_1} \cap \dots \cap I_r^{m_r}.$$

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$  be the blow-up at  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ . Put  $E_i = \pi^{-1}(t_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $A$  be a Weil divisor on  $X$  that satisfies  $\mathcal{O}_X(A) = \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}(1)$ . Then,  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is freely generated by  $\overline{A}, \overline{E_1}, \dots, \overline{E_r}$ . In this case, we have

$$K_X = (n-1)(E_1 + \dots + E_r) - (n+1)A.$$

Put

$$R = R(X; -m_1 E_1 - \dots - m_r E_r, A).$$

Here,  $-m_1 E_1 - \dots - m_r E_r + mA$  is an ample Cartier divisor for  $m \gg 0$ . Then,  $R$  coincides with the extended symbolic Rees ring of  $I$ , that is,

$$R = B[t^{-1}, It, I^{(2)}t^2, I^{(3)}t^3, \dots] \subset B[t, t^{-1}],$$

where

$$I^{(\ell)} = I_1^{\ell m_1} \cap \dots \cap I_r^{\ell m_r}.$$

Assume that  $R$  is Noetherian. Then, by Corollary 1.3, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_R \simeq R &\iff \overline{K_X} \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}(-m_1 E_1 - \dots - m_r E_r)} + \mathbb{Z}\overline{A} \\ &\iff \begin{cases} m_1 = \dots = m_r \\ m_1 \mid (n-1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Example 5.3.** Here, we give an example that the equality (1.2) is not satisfied if we remove the assumption that  $L_2$  contains an ample Cartier divisor.

Set  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times \mathbb{P}_k^1$ ,  $A_1 = \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times (1 : 0)$  and  $A_2 = (1 : 0) \times \mathbb{P}_k^1$ . Then,  $\text{Cl}(X)$  is freely generated by  $\overline{A_1}$  and  $\overline{A_2}$ . We know

$$\text{Cox}(X) = R(X; A_1, A_2) = k[x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1],$$

where  $\deg(x_0) = \deg(x_1) = (1, 0)$  and  $\deg(y_0) = \deg(y_1) = (0, 1)$ .

Then, we have

$$\omega_{R(X; A_1, A_2)} = R(X; A_1, A_2)(-2, -2)$$

since  $K_X = -2A_1 - 2A_2$ .

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define

$$S_{a,b} = R(X; aA_1 + bA_2)$$

and

$$L_{a,b} = \mathbb{Z}(aA_1 + bA_2) \subset \mathbb{Z}A_1 + \mathbb{Z}A_2.$$

Then, we have

$$S_{a,b} = R(X; A_1, A_2)|_{L_{a,b}}.$$

In this case,  $aA_1 + bA_2$  is ample if and only if  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ .

Therefore, if  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ , then

$$\omega_{S_{a,b}} = \omega_{R(X; A_1, A_2)}|_{L_{a,b}}$$

by (1.2). However, we have

$$\omega_{R(X; A_1, A_2)}|_{L_{1,0}} = 0 \neq \omega_{S_{1,0}}.$$

In the case where  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ ,  $S_{a,b}$  is the Segre product of  $k[x_0, x_1]^{(a)}$  and  $k[y_0, y_1]^{(b)}$ . Here,  $k[x_0, x_1]^{(a)}$  is the  $a$ -th Veronese subring of  $k[x_0, x_1]$ , that is,

$$k[x_0, x_1]^{(a)} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} k[x_0, x_1]_{na}.$$

Then, we have

$$\omega_{S_{a,b}} = \bigoplus_{n > 0} (k[x_0, x_1]_{na-2} \otimes_k k[y_0, y_1]_{nb-2}) = \omega_{k[x_0, x_1]^{(a)}} \# \omega_{k[y_0, y_1]^{(b)}}$$

as in Theorem (4.3.1) in [4].

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. A. COX, *The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety*, J. Algebraic Geometry **4** (1995), 17–50.
- [2] E. JAVIER ELIZONDO, K. KURANO AND K.-I. WATANABE, *The total coordinate ring of a normal projective variety*, J. Algebra **276** (2004), 625–637.
- [3] W. FULTON, *Intersection Theory, 2nd Edition*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1997.
- [4] S. GOTO AND K.-I. WATANABE, *On graded rings I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 179–213.
- [5] S. GOTO AND K.-I. WATANABE, *On graded rings II ( $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded rings)*, Tokyo J. Math. **1** (1978), 237–261.
- [6] M. HASHIMOTO, *Equivariant Twisted Inverses*, in *Foundations of Grothendieck Duality for Diagrams of Schemes* (J. Lipman, M. Hashimoto, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math. **1960**, Springer (2009), pp. 261–478.
- [7] M. HASHIMOTO AND M. OHTANI, *Local cohomology on diagrams of schemes*, Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008), 383–425.
- [8] M. HASHIMOTO AND M. OHTANI, *Equivariant Matlis and the local duality*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), 1447–1470.
- [9] Y. KAMOI, *Noetherian rings graded by an abelian group*, Tokyo J. Math. **18** (1995), 31–48.
- [10] O. N. POPOV, *The Cox ring of a Del Pezzo surface has rational singularities*, arXiv:math/0402154.
- [11] K.-I. WATANABE, *Some remarks concerning Demazure’s construction of normal graded rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **83** (1981), 203–211.

Mitsuyasu Hashimoto  
 Graduate School of Mathematics  
 Nagoya University  
 Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan  
 hashimoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp  
<http://www.math.nagoya-u.ac.jp/~hasimoto>

Kazuhiko Kurano  
Department of Mathematics  
School of Science and Technology  
Meiji University  
Higashimita 1-1-1, Tama-ku  
Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan  
[kurano@math.meiji.ac.jp](mailto:kurano@math.meiji.ac.jp)  
<http://www.math.meiji.ac.jp/~kurano>